

Interview Summary



Applicant(s)

Lyding et al.

Examiner

Hsien-Ming Lee

Group Art Unit 2823



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pers	onnel):
(1) <u>Hsien-Ming Lee</u>	(3)
(2) Kenneth A. Gandy	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to appl	icant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 126.	If yes, brief description:
Agreement Xwas reached. Was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: None Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an ag In specification, page 12, line 7, changes " device 12" into — det	reement was reached, or any other comments:
	s if available which the avaminer agreed would reade.

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT.IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.